Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Pope Soil and Water Conservation District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water Quality Gully Control Grazing Systems

Erosion Control Feedlot Runoff

If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Trapper's Run, Lake Minnewaska, JD-4 plus laterals, Ashley Creek, Lake Emily

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

	Resource	
Factor	Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	medium	7
A2 Gully Control	high	10
B1 Water Resource	high	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	high	9
C Habitat Improvement	low	1
D Air Quality	medium	6
E Impaired Water	medium	5
F Distance	medium	3
G Grazing System	high	9
H Forest Mgt.	low	1
Additional Local*	high	8

^{*} If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

If project is located in the following watersheds (6 points): 26097, 26020, 26009, 26011, 16001, 16002, 16003, 16004, 26103 (see attached map) This includes all immediate watersheds for Lake Minnewaska, Trapper's Run, JD-4 plus laterals, Lake Emily and Ashley Creek.

- 4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
- 5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

None to be deleted.

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Craig Bower, Chair, Local Work Group

September 8, 2005